protesting an appeal at Rome (C.G.R.E., 1355, p. 202). The result appears in (P.L. of 11 April, 1359, viii.—Papal Mandate to the Nuncios at Ferrara, 1359, pp. 371-372), and in the document of 24 June, 1359, (C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139). 2. The episode is also mentioned in the letter of the Nuncio at Ferrara to the Bishop of Rome, 1359, and in the letter of the Nuncio at Rome to the Bishop of Toulouse, 1359, as well as in the letters of the Bishop of Ratisbon, of the Bishop of Osuna, to make inquiry touching Alexander, the Bishop of Ferrara, and of the Bishop of Venice, who supported Robert de Canton, suspected of heresy, against the Bishop of Osuna. (See also C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139.) 3. The Bishop of Osuna, having been arrested on a charge of heresy, was brought to Dublin, a place not safe for the papal legate, and the Bishop of Osuna was placed in the hands of the Bishop of Durham, who was appointed to act against him by order of the Abp. of Dublin and of the Governors of the See of Dublin. (See also C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139.) 4. The Bishop of Osuna, on his yearly visitation of Osuna (Note: Clys in his 434th, account of the visitation of Osuna, states that the Bishop of Osuna placed it for 40 years) and for 7 years he has disregarded ecclesiastical sentences and for 15 years has been suspected of a heretic of heresy. In the latter part of the 14th century there was a person named Bishop of Osuna, who was a heretic, and who, according to the accounts of the Pope, had been absolved by the Bishop of Osuna, 1359, in the Papal Mandate to the Nuncios at Ferrara, 1359, in the letter of the Nuncio at Rome to the Bishop of Toulouse, 1359, and in the letter of the Nuncio at Rome to the Bishop of Toulouse, 1359, as well as in the letters of the Bishop of Ratisbon, of the Bishop of Osuna, to make inquiry touching Alexander, the Bishop of Ferrara, and of the Bishop of Venice, who supported Robert de Canton, suspected of heresy, against the Bishop of Osuna. (See also C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139.) 5. The Bishop of Osuna, who has been absolved by the Abp. of Dublin and of the Governors of the See of Dublin, has appealed against the Papal Mandate to the Nuncios at Ferrara, 1359, in the letter of the Nuncio at Rome to the Bishop of Toulouse, 1359, as well as in the letters of the Bishop of Ratisbon, of the Bishop of Osuna, to make inquiry touching Alexander, the Bishop of Ferrara, and of the Bishop of Venice, who supported Robert de Canton, suspected of heresy, against the Bishop of Osuna. (See also C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139.) 6. The Bishop of Osuna, who has been absolved by the Abp. of Dublin and of the Governors of the See of Dublin, has appealed against the Papal Mandate to the Nuncios at Ferrara, 1359, in the letter of the Nuncio at Rome to the Bishop of Toulouse, 1359, as well as in the letters of the Bishop of Ratisbon, of the Bishop of Osuna, to make inquiry touching Alexander, the Bishop of Ferrara, and of the Bishop of Venice, who supported Robert de Canton, suspected of heresy, against the Bishop of Osuna. (See also C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139.) 7. The Bishop of Osuna, who has been absolved by the Abp. of Dublin and of the Governors of the See of Dublin, has appealed against the Papal Mandate to the Nuncios at Ferrara, 1359, in the letter of the Nuncio at Rome to the Bishop of Toulouse, 1359, as well as in the letters of the Bishop of Ratisbon, of the Bishop of Osuna, to make inquiry touching Alexander, the Bishop of Ferrara, and of the Bishop of Venice, who supported Robert de Canton, suspected of heresy, against the Bishop of Osuna. (See also C.G.R.E., 1359, p. 139.)